



December 29, 1997

Dr. Myles Brand
President
Indiana University
Bryan Hall 200
Bloomington, IN 47405

An Open Letter to the President of Indiana University

Dear Dr. Brand:

As Founder and Chairman of the nation's largest pro-family women's organization, with over one half million members, I am writing to ask that you open the Kinsey files for public disclosure and release the following information:

- The names of the children of Table 34
- What contact has been made with these individuals over the past 50 years?
- any record regarding the whereabouts of these individuals
- Who were the "trained observers" pedophiles who collaborated with the Kinsey Institute in connection with the Kinsey Reports referred to by Dr. Kinsey and other of his IU colleagues including Dr. C. A. Tripp?
- Where did these publicly funded human experiments take place? In this country or another?

Because Kinsey was misrepresented to the American public by Indiana University from the start, it is fitting that you now do all that is possible to set the record straight. The fraud on the nation began in 1948, when IU presented Kinsey as a "dogged, disinterested scientist." The truth recently revealed, but long known by past IU president Herman Wells and other Indiana University officials, is that Kinsey was dogged, but not disinterested. According to his biographer, James Jones, a man with links to the Kinsey Institute, Kinsey was a "covert crusader,"¹ who became reckless and obsessive in his professional and personal sexual pursuits. He was a man, who willingly misrepresented his sex reports as "science to free American Society"² from what Kinsey said was "moral" constraints "in conflict with . . . the forces of law."³

¹ James H. Jones, "Dr. Yes," *Annals of Sexology, The New Yorker*, 25 Aug.--1 Sept. 1997, pg. 100.

² Ibid.

³ Alfred C. Kinsey, Wardell B. Pomeroy, Clyde E. Martin, *Sexual Behavior in the Human Male*, W. B. Saunders Company, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, 1948, pg. 3.

The facts about Kinsey have been hard to acquire unless one happens to be in a favored position like Mr. Jones. As early as 1953, a Committee of the 83rd Congress looked into tax-exempt Foundations. Led by Congressman Carroll B. Reece from Tennessee, the committee was prevented from investigating the Rockefeller supported Kinsey Reports. However, the congressional committee did present the following conclusion:

"It seems to this Committee that there is a strong tendency on the part of many of the social scientists whose research is favored by the major foundations toward the concept that there are no absolutes, that everything is indeterminate, that no standards of conduct, morals, ethics, and government are to be deemed inviolate, that everything, including basic moral law, is subject to change, and that it is the part of the social scientists to take no principle for granted as a premise in social or juridical reasoning, however fundamental it may hereto have been deemed to be under our Judeo-Christian moral system."

Concerned Women for America, a group vitally interested in supporting America's "Biblical moral system," wants truthful answers to our questions because Kinsey's research is still in use today and the outcomes are disastrous. As proof of Kinsey's legacy, the *National Review* said of Kinsey's data in the October 13, 1997 issue;

"No scientific treatise since The Origin of the Species has been more widely read, widely discussed and widely criticized, or widely remembered than Alfred Kinsey's Sexual Behavior in the Human Male. Forty-nine years after its publication, the book universally known as the Kinsey Report continues to influence American thinking on sex. . ."

Additionally, Carol Cassel, writing in the October 1991, *Contemporary Sexuality*, monthly newsletter of the American Association of Sex Educators, Counselors and Therapists, said;

"Look how we have used the Kinsey data. We have used it for everything from assessing the stability of marriage to raising children to trying to understand human growth and development - not just sexual but also psychological growth and changes over time. "

Kinsey's research has a massive impact on America today. One great concern to mothers across America is that our children today receive sex education based upon the fraud and criminally derived data found in the Kinsey reports. Despite all the talk and programs devoted to the control and maintenance of sexuality, the results are devastating. Mothers see their broken and diseased children, and realize their children have bought the lies of Kinsey. CWA does not want our children to follow Kinsey's bad data and die in the way he did. As *National Review* said, "in pain and squalor: he (Kinsey) developed a massive pelvic infection as the result of his masochistic practices, almost certainly hastening his death . . ."

Indiana University admitted in a 1983 press release that Kinsey research still stands as a "benchmark." The unreliability of the "benchmark" must also be admitted so that this devastation among our children can end, and restoration of accurate, age appropriate and health

enhancing data and information can be taught and supplied throughout American public and private education.

The need for the University's intervention is best illustrated by the Kinsey Institute's inability to get the truth straight about the children of 'Table 34'. The child data became an issue when in 1981, Dr. Judith Reisman delivered a paper at the "5th World Congress of Sexology," in Jerusalem. There she exposed Kinsey and his sexual experiments conducted on 317 children, some as young as 2 months old. Five tables in Kinsey's book, *Sexual Behavior in the Human Male* (1948) illustrated what he asserted were child orgasms.

Dr. Paul Gebhard, the Institute's second director, responded personally to Dr. Reisman's questions in 1981 as to how Kinsey collected these data:

"Some. . . were parents, mostly college educated....A few were nursery school owners or teachers, Others were homosexual males interested in older, but still prepubertal, children. One was a man who had numerous sexual contacts with male and female infants and children and, being of a scientific bent, kept detailed records of each encounter.... The techniques involved were self-masturbation by the child, child-child sex play, and adult-child sexual contacts -- chiefly manual or oral." [emphasis added]

The Kinsey Institute has responded to the disclosures made by Dr. Judith Reisman unfairly and inaccurately. Remember, these disclosures were from Dr. Kinsey and his colleagues themselves, in writing. However, rather than reveal the whole truth and answer legitimate scholarly questions, in 1983, Dr. June Reinisch, the third director of the Kinsey Institute, conducted a major covert campaign to discredit Dr. Reisman within the media and the academic community. She also publicly released a statement denying Kinsey was ever involved in child sex experiments.

In December, 1990, C. A. Tripp, Ph.D., a Kinsey colleague, tried to control the damage caused by Dr. Reisman's discovery of the admissions by Kinsey Institute officials of the criminal child sexual abuse in Table 34 and elsewhere. On the *Phil Donahue Show*, Dr. Tripp said in response to Dr. Reisman, "yes she is upset about the pedophiles, but they were 'responsible' pedophiles...they used 'stop watches to record their thing.'" Dr. Tripp called those pedophiles "trained observers."

The Washington Post (December 8, 1995), quoted Dr. Bancroft, present Director of the Kinsey Institute, on Kinsey's child orgasm data: "the material in the tables came from one man, an extraordinary man with incredible numbers of sexual experiments on which he kept very careful notes." Bancroft calls this 'extraordinary' man an omniphile.

⁴ Kinsey, Pomeroy, Martin, *Sexual Behavior in the Human Male*, W.B. Saunders Co., 1948, pp.160-161, 177. Description of boys' orgasmic reaction: "violent convulsions ...involving the sudden heaving and jerking of the whole body...gasping...spasmodically twitching...groaning...sobbing, or more violent cries (sometimes with an abundance of tears), especially among younger children...extreme trembling, collapse, loss of color, and sometimes fainting...although [Kinsey concluded] they derive definite pleasure from the situation."

However Kinsey himself, and co-author Paul Gebbard, former director of the Kinsey Institute, said "nine male 'sources,' some technically trained, observed children in orgasm" for Kinsey's research.

Ladies and Gentlemen of Indiana University, wouldn't you say it is time to get this sordid story of human experimentation straight, particularly about something so important?


It should come as no surprise to you that scientists were not then, and are not now, above the law. The criminal acts documented in Kinsey's own book were, and still are, against the law. No scientists, whether in Indiana or in a totalitarian police state, may experiment on human beings without informed consent particularly children who cannot give any such consent.

If publicly funded science accepts and uses data gathered by pedophiles, who report on the sexual response of abused children, upon which "oral and manual" techniques were used, what in heaven's name is next? Does the Kinsey Institute, located on the grounds of state supported Indiana University, have more than the sexual abuse of infants to hide from America today? Are the doors barricaded by a bodyguard of lies to a full and honest inquiry because we would not understand the sacrifice that possibly other 'subjects' are making to fulfill the Kinsey Institute's mission of greater 'reproductive technologies' to provide even greater 'freedom' through ongoing research?

Unless the whole truth is forthcoming and the Kinsey Institute is open for proper and supervised investigation, let this letter serve as notice that we will not stop until our questions are fully answered. Women across the world deserve to know the truth about the "trained observer" pedophiles and Kinsey's smallest subject, the Children of Table 34! After 50 years it is time for Indiana University to come clean and help concerned citizens get to the bottom of its long involvement in a chapter of history as savage as the Tuskegee syphilis experiments.

Congress was right in 1954 when they concluded, "America was built on absolutes of the Judeo-Christian moral system. There are standards of conduct, morals and ethics. There are things that are not subject to change." The lies and cover up from both the Kinsey Institute and Indiana University will not change that.

Sincerely,



Dr. Beverly La Haye
Founder and Chairman

cc: Indiana University Board of Trustees
Indiana State Legislators
Indiana Federal Legislators